UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/553,956 | 10/19/2005 | Hideichi Sasaoka | 077892-0015 | 9982 |
| | 7590 06/29/200 WILL & EMERY LL | EXAMINER | | |
| 600 13TH STR | EET, N.W. N, DC 20005-3096 | KING, JOHN B | | |
| WASHINGTO | N, DC 20003-3090 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2435 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 06/29/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|----------------|--|
| 10/553,956 | SASAOKA ET AL. | |
| F., and in an | A 4 11 14 | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |

| | John B. King | 2435 | | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appea | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 03 June 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on tapplication, applicant must timely file one of the following reapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appear for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CI periods: | eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance | , or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of | • | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ad no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire lat Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) | ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | date of the final rejection | n. | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exteunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shate forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later times reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | n which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13 ension and the corresponding amount of nortened statutory period for reply origin | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as | | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliating the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) They raise new issues that would require further consolous (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better | sideration and/or search (see NOT /); | E below); | | | | | |
| appeal; and/or | arracheding number of finally rais | atad alaima | | | | | |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: Applicant has amended the claims to "only" | | | dio devices | | | | |
| through the first and second antennas. This has am further search and consideration. Therefore, the am 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed the compliance with 37 CFR 1.12. | ended the scope of the claim to be tendments will not be entered. (Se 1. See attached Notice of Non-Cor | ecome narrower and t ee 37 CFR 1.116 and mpliant Amendment (I | <u>hus will require</u> 41.33(a)). PTOL-324). | | | | |
| non-allowable claim(s). | owabie ii submilled in a separale, i | imely filed amendmer | it canceling the | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provious. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-12. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | be entered and an ex | xplanation of | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | sufficient reasons why the affidavi | t or other evidence is | necessary and | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). | | | | | | | |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | of the status of the claims after er | itry is below or attache | ed. | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | does NOT place the application in | condition for allowand | ce because: | | | | |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (F 13. ☒ Other: See Continuation Sheet. | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | | | | | |
| /JBK/ | /Beemnet W Dada/ Primary Examiner, Art U | nit 2435 | | | | | |

Continuation of 13. Other: Reconsideration cannot be performed without further searching. See NOTE above..